## **Annex G: Minor Modifications Schedule**

nd references from 'proposals map to 'policies map'	
nd references from 'proposals man to 'policies man'	
nd references from proposals map to policies map	To clarify title of accompanying maps to the plan
nsure provision of new all purpose access roads to the ast/south from A1237 Outer Ring Road/Wigginton Road oundabout Clifton Moor Gate and off the Wigginton oad/B1363 (as shown on the proposals map). The internal ayout of any future development on the site could be such that it reates discrete sectors, each with a specific access	To correct the roundabout name referenced.
reate new open space (as shown on the proposals map) ithin the site to maintain views of the Minster and existing oodland.	To clarify that the openspace is not shown on the proposals map.
Follow a mitigation hierarchy to first seek to avoid impacts, then mitigate unavoidable impacts or compensate unavoidable esidual impacts on Heslington Tillmire SSSI and the Lower erwent Valley SPA/Ramsar through the:	To clarify the link to new openspace (OS10) as detailed in the Habitat Regulation Assessment (2018)
ncorporation of a new nature conservation area (as shown on the proposals map as allocation OS10 and included within Policy GI6) including a buffer of wetland habitats, a barrier to the	
na ye ritic	st/south from A1237 Outer Ring Road/Wigginton Road undabout Clifton Moor Gate and off the Wigginton bad/B1363 (as shown on the proposals map). The internal yout of any future development on the site could be such that it eates discrete sectors, each with a specific access eate new open space (as shown on the proposals map) thin the site to maintain views of the Minster and existing bodland.  sollow a mitigation hierarchy to first seek to avoid impacts, then mitigate unavoidable impacts or compensate unavoidable sidual impacts on Heslington Tillmire SSSI and the Lower erwent Valley SPA/Ramsar through the:  corporation of a new nature conservation area (as shown on e proposals map as allocation OS10 and included within

	<ul> <li>deliver further benefits for biodiversity. A buffer of at least 400m from the SSSI will be required in order to adequately mitigate impacts unless evidence demonstrates otherwise; and</li> <li>provision of an detailed site wide recreation and access strategy to minimise indirect recreational disturbance resulting from development and complement the wetland habitat buffer area which will be retained and monitored in perpetuity. A full understanding of the proposed recreational routes is required at an early stage.</li> </ul>	
Policy SS18: Station Yard, Wheldrake Criterion iv. Page 62	iv. Undertake a comprehensive evidence based approach in relation to biodiversity to address potential impacts of recreational disturbance on the Lower Derwent Valley Special Protection Area (SPA)/Ramsar/SSSI. This will require the developer to publicise and facilitate the use of other, less sensitive countryside destinations nearby (e.g. Wheldrake Woods) and provide educational material to new homeowners to promote good behaviours when visiting the European site. The former could be supported by enhancing the local footpath network and improving signage	To clarify the mitigation required as detailed in the Habitat Regulation Assessment (2018)
SS19: Queen Elizabeth Barracks, Strensall Criterion ii Page 64	ii. Take full account of the extent and quality of ecological interest on Strensall Common through the preparation of a comprehensive evidence base to support the required Habitat Regulations Assessment and other assessments to be able to fully understand and avoid, mitigate or compensate impacts. To help deliver this, a detailed Visitor Impact Mitigation Strategy must be prepared, which will be informed by comprehensive and repeatable visitor surveys (to be repeated as necessary). The Strategy will identify effective measures which will encourage both the use of alternative sites instead of Strensall Common	To clarify the mitigation required as detailed in the Habitat Regulation Assessment (2018)

	<ul> <li>and less damaging visitor behaviour on the Common. This will include (but not be limited to) the following measures:</li> <li>Within the site divert new users away from the SAC by: <ul> <li>Providing natural green space within the site boundary attractive to a range of users, particularly dog walkers;</li> <li>The provision of a circular walk within the site;</li> <li>Ensuring no access throughout the life of the development either by vehicle, cycle or foot to adjoining land on the north, south and eastern site boundary, and</li> <li>Providing publicity, education and awareness to support these aims</li> </ul> </li> <li>On Strensall Common ensure suitable behaviour by visitors by: <ul> <li>Implementing actions to manage recreational pressure at points of arrival, by type of activity and location of activity on site;</li> <li>Ongoing monitoring that will specifically lead to the implementation of prompt remedial measures such as the closure of access points etc if adverse effects are identified, and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	·	
	good behaviours by the public.	
SS19: Queen Elizabeth Barracks, Strensall	3.82 ST35 covers circa 28.8 ha with a net developable area of approximately 18ha 14.4ha and will deliver approximately 12ha of public open space (including OS12) and an estimated yield of circa 578 500 dwellings. There are no listed buildings	To correct the developable area and housing number referenced in the policy.
Explanatory text	or conservation areas currently designated within this site.  However, as access to the area has always been restricted, no	

Page 65 Para 3.82	detailed assessment of the existing buildings has been carried out to determine if the buildings merit designation	
SS19: Queen Elizabeth Barracks, Strensall Explanatory text Page 66 Para 3.84	3.84 The location of this site adjacent to Strensall Common SAC means that a comprehensive evidence base to understand the potential impacts on biodiversity from further development is required. Strensall Common is designated for it's heathland habitats but also has biodiversity value above its listed features in the SSSI/SAC designations that will need to be fully considered. Although the common is already under intense recreational pressure, there are birds of conservation concern amongst other species and habitats which could be harmed by the intensification of disturbance. In addition, the heathland habitat is vulnerable to changes in the hydrological regime and air quality which needs to be explored in detail. The mitigation hierarchy should be used to identify the measures required to first avoid impacts, then to mitigate unavoidable impacts or compensate for any unavoidable residual impacts, and be implemented in the masterplanning approach. A recreational strategy and physical presence on site with the use of a warden could promote good behaviours by visitors, encouraging use of existing paths and ensuring dogs are properly controlled. The necessary costs for this would best be secured by an appropriate levy or similar on each development. Potential access points into the planned development also need to consider impacts on Strensall Common.	To clarify the issues raised and mitigation required as detailed in the Habitat Regulation Assessment (2018)
SS19: Imphal Barracks	3.89 ST36 covers circa 30ha 18ha with net developable area of approximately 19ha, and will deliver approximately 11 ha of	To correct the developable area and housing number referenced in the

	nublic (	<del>open space and</del>	<b>d</b> an estim	ated vield of 76	69 dwellings	policy.	
Explanatory text Page 68 Para 3.89	public		<b>a</b> an oom	atod yiold of 70	oo awominge.	policy.	
Policy EC1: Provision						New footnote to clarify that this sites	
of employment land	Site	e Flo	orspace		mployment ses	need to consider the applicable mitigation as set out in other plan	
Allocation E18	E18: Tow Lines, Str		,200sqm	B1c, B2 and	B8 uses.	polices. This cross referencing as detailed by the Habitat Regulation Assessment (2018)	
Page 76	(4ha)	<u>*</u>					
	* Policy SS1	.9 points i. – ii.	apply to	this allocation	n in relation		
	to assessing	g and mitigatin					
	SAC and must also take account of Policy GI2.						
Policy H1: Housing						New footnote to clarify that this sites	
Allocations			Site	Estimated		need to consider the applicable	
Allocation H59	Allocation Reference	Site Name	Size	Yield	Estimated Phasing	mitigation as set out in other plan polices. This cross referencing as	
Allocation Ho9		Queen	(ha)	(Dwellings)		detailed by the Habitat Regulation	
Page 93	H59** <sup>/</sup> ***	Elizabeth Barracks – Howard Road, Strensall	1.34	45	Medium to Long Term (Years 6 - 15)	Assessment (2018)	
	*** Policy SS19 points i. – ii. apply to this allocation in						
	relation to assessing and mitigating impacts on Strensall						
	Common SAC and must also take account of Policy GI2.						

Section 8: Placemaking, heritage, design and culture					
Policy D1: Placemaking	<ul> <li>v. Character and Design Standards</li> <li>ensure proposals are not a pale imitation of past architectural styles.</li> <li>ensure appropriate building materials are used.</li> <li>meet the highest standards of accessibility and inclusion.</li> <li>demonstrate the use of best practice in contemporary urban design and place making.</li> <li>integrate car parking and servicing within the design of development so as not to dominate the street scene.</li> <li>create active frontages to public streets, spaces and waterways.</li> <li>create buildings and spaces that are fit for purpose but are also adaptable to respond to change.</li> <li>create places that feel true to their intended purpose.</li> <li>maximise sustainability potential.</li> <li>ensure design considers residential amenity so that residents living nearby are not unduly affected by noise, disturbance, overlooking or overshadowing</li> </ul>	To clarify that residential amenity should be considered as part of overall design standards as part of the planning process.			
Policy D4: Conservation Areas	Outline pPlanning applications for development within or affecting the setting of conservation areas will only be supported if full design details are included, sufficient to show the likely impact of the proposals upon the significance of the Conservation Area.	To clarify that all planning applications should consider conservation areas, not solely outline planning applications.			
Section 9: Green Infr	astructure				
Policy G12: Biodiversity and Access to Nature Page 166	In order to conserve and enhance York's biodiversity, any development should where appropriate: ; i. avoid loss or significant harm to Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINCs) and Local Nature Reserves	To clarify link to LNRs shown on the policies map with relevant policy in the plan.			

	(LNRs), whether directly or indirectly. Where it can be demonstrated that there is a need for the development in that location and the benefit outweighs the loss or harm the impacts must be adequately mitigated against, or compensated for as a last resort	
Policy G12: Biodiversity and Access to Nature  Explanatory text Page 167 Para 9.5	9.5 Although the protection of individual sites is essential, such sites do not occur in isolation as discrete, self contained habitats, but influence and are influenced by their surroundings. The surrounding area can therefore be as important to the interest of the site as the feature itself, and changes to it could affect the integrity of that interest. In order to fully protect the site or interest, there may be a requirement to establish a suitable buffer area around it. The extent of that buffer could vary depending on the site, the type and value of the habitat present and the proposed change. In addition, whilst recognising the benefits to people provided from access to nature, where appropriate developments will be required to fully assess and mitigate for the impact of recreational disturbance on SSSIs, SACs and SPAs.	To clarify how the planning approach to internationally and nationally significant nature conservation sites.